

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/841,820	04/25/2001	Jochen Wurtz	514413-3872	6300
20999	7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
	LAWRENCE & HAU	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
745 FIFTH AT NEW YORK.	VENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1616	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antion Common to	09/841,820	WURTZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alton N. Pryor	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 15-18,20-25 and 28-32 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-18,20-25 and 28-32 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	2,,	· /			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part and All by Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) e tent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

I. Duplicate claim warning for claims 30,16, and 17 under 37 CFR 1.75 will not be maintained for reason on record and reason as follows. Applicant is correct in that claims have different limitations and therefore are not duplicates.

II. Rejection of claims 15,17,18,20-25,28,30-32 under 35 USC 102(e) / 103(a) as being anticipated by and obvious over Hirokawa will not be maintained for reason on record and reason as follows. The English Translation of the German Priority Document DE 100 20 671.9 has been provided by Applicant.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15-18,28,30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishi et al. (JP 11315004; 11/16/99). Nishi teaches a composition comprising dialkyl sulfosuccinate salts and a poorly water soluble agrochemical (Bensulfuron-Me, Chlorsulfuron). Nishi teaches dissolving the composition in water. Bensulfuron-Me and Chlorsulfuron are herbicides employed to control weed growth. See abstract.

Claims 15-18,28,30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yausi et al. (JP 10330202; 12/15/81). Yasui teaches an aqueous herbicide

Art Unit: 1616

composition comprising dialkyl sulfosuccinate salts and an agrochemical (Bensulfuron-Me, Imazosulfuron). Bensulfuron-Me and Imazosulfuron are herbicides employed to control weed growth. See abstract.

Claims 15-18,28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kadowaki. (JP 10182302; 7/7/98). Kadowaki teaches an aqueous agrochemical composition comprising dialkyl sulfosuccinate salts and an agrochemical (Imazosulfuron). Kadowaki teaches dissolving the composition in water. Imazosulfuron is a herbicide employed to control weed growth. See abstract.

Claims 15-18,28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hasegawa. (JP 10182302; 7/7/98). Hasegawa teaches a pesticide tablet composition comprising ialkyl sulfosuccinate salts and an agrochemical (Imazosulfuron). Hasegawa teaches dissolving the tablet in water. Imazosulfuron is a herbicide employed to control weed growth. See abstract.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishi as applied to claims 15-18,28,30-32 above. Nishi teaches all that is recited in claims 21-25 except for the invention comprising the instant amounts or ingredients. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to determine the

Art Unit: 1616

optimum amount of ingredients. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop an invention that would have been most effective in controlling weeds.

Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasui as applied to claims 15-18,28,30-32 above. Yasui teaches all that is recited in claims 21-25 except for the invention comprising the instant amounts or ingredients. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum amount of ingredients. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop an invention that would have been most effective in controlling weeds.

Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadowaki as applied to claims 15-18,28,30-32 above. Kadowaki teaches all that is recited in claims 21-25 except for the invention comprising the instant amounts or ingredients. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum amount of ingredients. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop an invention that would have been most effective in controlling weeds.

Claims 20-25,29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa as applied to claims 15-18,28,30-32 above. Hasegawa teaches all that is recited in claims 21-25,29 except for the invention comprising the instant amounts or ingredients. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum amount of ingredients. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop an invention that would have been most effective in controlling weeds. In addition, Hasegawa does not teach the invention comprising iodosulfuron.

Art Unit: 1616

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention taught by Hasegawa to include iodosulfuron. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since iodosulfuron is a species in the sulfornylurea genus used to control weed growth.

Election Status

The elected invention comprising sodium di-(2-ethylhexyl)sulfosuccinate and isodosulfuron-methyl is not allowable. See Hasegawa's rejections above.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1616

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A**ROIM**Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616